**School District of Osceola County, FL** 

# Osceola High School



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

### **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	5
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	15
Positive Culture & Environment	32
Budget to Support Goals	33

### **Osceola High School**

420 S THACKER AVE, Kissimmee, FL 34741

www.osceolaschools.net

### **Demographics**

Principal: Nia Campbell Start Date for this Principal: 8/3/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2018-19 Title I School	Yes
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	83%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups in orange are below the federal threshold)	Asian Students Black/African American Students Economically Disadvantaged Students English Language Learners Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Students With Disabilities White Students
	2018-19: C (47%)
	<b>2017-18:</b> C (50%)
School Grades History	2016-17: C (45%)
	2015-16: C (42%)
2019-20 School Improvement (	(SI) Information*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	<u>Diane Leinenbach</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	TS&I

\* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, <u>click</u> <u>here</u>.

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Osceola County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement

Osceola High School will provide access to rigorous courses with interventions to support all students.

#### Provide the school's vision statement

Graduate all students career and college ready.

#### **School Leadership Team**

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Campbell, Nia	Principal	Principal ensures that the school's learning goals are based on the state's adopted studetn academic standards and the district's adopted curricula and that student learning results are evidenced by the student performance. The principal also establishes high expectations for learning growth by all students and engage faculty and staff in efforts to close learning performance gaps.
Bryant, Bronsky	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principal assists the principal in ensuring the school's learning goals are based on state's adopted student academic standards and district's adopted curricula and that student learning results are evidenced by student performance. APs also assist the principal in enabling faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning.
Rivera, Ivet	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principal assists the principal in ensuring the school's learning goals are based on state's adopted student academic standards and district's adopted curricula and that student learning results are evidenced by student performance. APs also assist the principal in enabling faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning.
Stewart, Jared	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principal assists the principal in ensuring the school's learning goals are based on state's adopted student academic standards and district's adopted curricula and that student learning results are evidenced by student performance. APs also assist the principal in enabling faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning.
Fisher, Melinda	Dean	Dean assist the principal in administering school board rules, developing public relations, administering extracurricular programs and provide the necessary services which will give the optimal education for students.
Gallman, Guice	Dean	Dean assist the principal in administering school board rules, developing public relations, administering extracurricular programs and provide the necessary services which will give the optimal education for students.
Schmidt, Dana	Instructional Coach	Instructional Coach fosters effective teaching practices, organizes curriculum writing and consults on curriculum development, material selection and alignment with state frameworks. Instructional coaches also coordinate and assists with assessments, monitors student progress and conducts appropriate training.

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Morales- Rivera, Bethzaida	Instructional Coach	ELL Compliance Specialist coordinates the ESOL referrals, LEP committee meetings, placement and testing process. The ELL compliance specialist also assists school based ESOL personnel and basic subject area personnel and chairs with all LEP committee meetings.

#### **Demographic Information**

#### **Principal start date**

Monday 8/3/2020, Nia Campbell

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

# **Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school** 135

#### **Demographic Data**

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2018-19 Title I School	Yes
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	83%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups in orange are below the federal threshold)	Asian Students Black/African American Students Economically Disadvantaged Students English Language Learners Hispanic Students Multiracial Students Students With Disabilities White Students

	2018-19: C (47%)								
	2017-18: C (50%)								
School Grades History	2016-17: C (45%)								
	2015-16: C (42%)								
2019-20 School Improvemen	nt (SI) Information*								
SI Region	Southeast								
Regional Executive Director	<u>Diane Leinenbach</u>								
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A								
Year									
Support Tier									
ESSA Status	TS&I								
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information,									

### **Early Warning Systems**

#### **Current Year**

click here.

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator							Gra	ade	e L	evel				Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iotai
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	331	345	269	292	1237
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	101	85	86	104	376
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	76	64	22	181
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	80	46	37	185
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	108	108
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	179	92	271

### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gra	ade	e L	ev	el				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iotai
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	31	81	54	175

### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	7	10	9	36	

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 8/3/2020

#### **Prior Year - As Reported**

### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	635	616	576	618	2445	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	5	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	11	12	5	48	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	92	83	86	298	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	262	266	209	211	948	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ad	e L	.ev	el				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iotai
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	67	51	52	201

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	6	9	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	12	11	4	65	

#### **Prior Year - Updated**

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iotai
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	635	616	576	618	2445
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	5
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	11	12	5	48
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	92	83	86	298
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	262	266	209	211	948

### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	67	51	52	201

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iotai
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	6	9
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	12	11	4	65

### Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Crade Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	37%	57%	56%	41%	56%	56%	
ELA Learning Gains	43%	48%	51%	50%	54%	53%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	34%	43%	42%	37%	47%	44%	
Math Achievement	29%	46%	51%	30%	39%	51%	
Math Learning Gains	35%	41%	48%	39%	40%	48%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	36%	46%	45%	41%	46%	45%	
Science Achievement	48%	69%	68%	56%	67%	67%	
Social Studies Achievement	66%	70%	73%	62%	70%	71%	

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey										
Indicator	Grad	Grade Level (prior year reported) Total								
mulcator	9	10	11	12	iotai					
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)					

#### **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
09	2019	32%	47%	-15%	55%	-23%
	2018	38%	47%	-9%	53%	-15%
Same Grade Co	omparison	-6%				
Cohort Com	parison					
10	2019	36%	47%	-11%	53%	-17%

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2018	36%	49%	-13%	53%	-17%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	-2%				

	MATH											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison						

			9	SCIENCE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	47%	62%	-15%	67%	-20%
2018	57%	68%	-11%	65%	-8%
	mpare	-10%	==/0	1 00,0	
			CS EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	61%	62%	-1%	70%	-9%
2018	58%	61%	-3%	68%	-10%
Co	mpare	3%			
		ALGEE	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	19%	49%	-30%	61%	-42%
2018	28%	52%	-24%	62%	-34%
Со	mpare	-9%			
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	37%	44%	-7%	57%	-20%

	GEOMETRY EOC											
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State							
2018	29%	39%	-10%	56%	-27%							
Compare		8%										

Subgroup [	Data										
	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	20	31	28	20	37	37	31	33		83	7
ELL	19	39	33	23	36	42	33	40		73	49
ASN	60	53		64	45			75		95	85
BLK	30	42	27	24	28	28	39	59		95	35
HSP	35	43	35	28	37	39	48	64		86	47
MUL	53	33						77		100	60
WHT	48	50	33	39	32	21	59	77		92	51
FRL	33	43	32	25	32	34	46	63		91	48

2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	27	47	29	24	31	29	27	36		61	20
ELL	17	43	39	21	36	38	38	36		71	61
ASN	45	47		61	67		74	82		93	64
BLK	32	49	46	18	35	38	41	56		88	33
HSP	39	49	36	29	38	41	56	59		85	53
MUL	60	65		35	37		75	75			
WHT	57	57	50	51	50	44	71	82		92	62
FRL	39	49	37	28	38	41	55	57		86	50

### **ESSA Data**

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index				
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	TS&I			
OVERALL Federal Index - All Students	46			
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO			
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2			
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency				
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index				
Total Components for the Federal Index				
Percent Tested	97%			

Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	33
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	39
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	68
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	41
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	46
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	65
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
	NI/A
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
	-

Pacific Islander Students				
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%				
White Students				
Federal Index - White Students	50			
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO			
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	44			
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO			
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			

#### **Analysis**

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

# Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends

Algebra I dropped by 8%, from 27% to 19% which is also significantly lower then the district and state. Algebra I results stem from new teachers being deficient in content knowledge, PLC (Professional Learning Community) members were not collaborating, ELL( English Language Learner) strategies were not used. Also, classroom management, pedagogy, and high teacher and student absenteeism were contributing factors.

# Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline

Biology pass rate went from 57% to 47% with a 10% drop. The result stems from instructional expertise in addressing the student's varying learning needs. In addition to providing the rigor and depth of content standards. Our PLC collaboration can improve in the areas of assessment creation, lesson planning, and genuine collective efficacy.

# Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends

Our greatest gap was in our 9th grade ELA achievement with a 23% gap (state 55% to school 32%). Our ELA results stem from Language Acquisition, lack of expertise in addressing content standards, instructional delivery practices not including read, write, talk, solve, lack of genuine PLC collaboration, and lack of use of ELL strategies.

# Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Last Modified: 3/11/2021 https://www.floridacims.org Page 14 of 34

Geometry increased by 9% from 29% to 38%.

The PLC calendar was created as an non-negotiable for teachers and administrators to follow. During PLC time, the geometry PLC met to discuss common formative assessment data, lesson planning and content standards (Engage New York). Geometry teachers reported that PLC meetings helped them creat more effective assessments and fostered open discussions of best teaching strategies. Geometry PLC was the most effective with collaboration, data analysis, and decision making

# Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

First area of concern on the Early Warning Systems data is the the course failure in ELA or Math. Second is the current years Level 1 students on Statewide assessment.

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year

- 1. Ensure high levels of learning for all students in literacy.
- 2. Ensure high levels of mathematics achievement for all students.
- 3. Ensure high Levels of science achievement for all students
- 4. Ensure a school-wide post secondary culture for all students.
- 5. Strengthen collaborative processes to ensure that the learning needs of all students are met.

### Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### **Areas of Focus:**

content areas by meeting the instructional needs of all students.

#### #1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus **Description** and Rationale:

Ensure high levels of learning for all students in literacy If Osceola high school develops the faculty's understanding of quality literacy instruction and deepens teachers' understanding of what equitable literacy instruction looks like, then administration can coach and provide meaningful feedback to teachers. As a result, literacy instruction will improve across all

Measureable Outcome:

FSA ELA (9th and 10th) achievement score will increase from 5% to 42%. FSA ELA learning gains score will increase from 43% to 48% and the lowest 25% will increase from 34% to 39%.

Person responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

monitoring outcome:

based

Response to Intervention Cognitive task analysis Collective teacher efficacy Evidence-Teacher estimates of achievement Planning and prediction Setting standards

for self-judgement Coaching Cycle & Mentoring Strategy:

Research illustrates a correlation between student achievement and the

Rationale development of an achievable,

rigorous and aligned curriculum. Additionally, schools that consistently utilize for

**Evidence**common assessments have the

greatest student achievement. The use of common formative assessments, based

Strategy: when well implemented, can

effectively double the speed of learning, (William. 2007), (Marzano, 2003)

### **Action Steps to Implement**

Administrative team, academic coaches, and compliance specialists will gather data from district CFA's (Common Formative Assessments), PLC CFA's and student performance in Achieve 3000, Khan academy and Language Live to determine if students are developing their literacy skills.

**Person** Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

ELL( English Language Learner) Compliance Specialist and ELL task force will meet once a month to discuss ELL students' academic performance and which strategies the ELL compliance specialist will train faculty to support

Osceola - 0081 - Osceola High School - 2019-20 SIP

Last Modified: 8/3/2020 https://www.floridacims.org Page18of34

our students. Teachers deliver daily content-specific knowledge and experience in the classroom by ensuring standardized lessons and using differentiated instruction for ELL and ESE students. And monitored by the ELLL Compliance Specialist and RCS.

Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

The Literacy Coach will provide professional development sessions to teachers as they request it and the need arises. The Leadership Team will determine areas of need through observations and data. Development sessions are data driven based off of data collected through Leadership Walks, Stocktake Meetings, Coaching for Implementation and Rigor Walks and District Learning Cycle Visits.

#### Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

All FSA ELA level 1 and 2 students are scheduled into Intensive Reading courses as well as an English course, where teachers will utilize Achieve 3000, Language Live and Khan Academy to develop students' skills and fluency in literacy. Students will be required to complete 30 minutes a week in Achieve 3000. Teachers will assign lessons in Khan academy and Language Live.

#### Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

English 1 and English 2 teachers will attend professional development series on Core Connections to incorporate the use of primary sources for evidence in reading and writing.

#### Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

Professional Development opportunities are taking place quarterly focusing on standards based instruction, instructional practices, data driven lesson planning, and intervention strategies. Additional training provided to all teachers on AVID focused note taking, text marking, questions for rigor, differentiation and lesson planning.

#### Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

Students with Disabilities (SWD) will receive grade level instruction. The work will be scaffolded to meet their individual needs and will be supported by the Varying Exceptionalities (VE) teacher when applicable. All teachers are implementing the use of scales to guide instruction and track mastery of standards with a focus on major content. SWD will receive interventions based on their Tier 3, Tier 2, and Tier 1 individual needs.

#### Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

Administration will be meeting with Literacy coach weekly to obtain feedback on ELA and Reading teachers and will be provided with ongoing data collected through district CFAs that will be placed on the school's data wall for continuous student data analysis. All students will be monitored using the DIBELS Universal Screener at the beginning of the year, Osceola Writes three times a year, Next Steps to Guided Reading Assessment three times a year, and district formative assessments quarterly.

#### Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

School wide intervention program called LASSO is built into the school day schedule to provide supplemental instruction incorporating literacy strategies throughout all content area teachers. Students will provide Tier 3 instruction based on gaps in literacy foundations: phonics, phonemic awareness and fluency.

#### Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

Tutoring will be provided after school by teachers every Tuesday and Thursday.

#### Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

Difference Makers and Carnegie Learning Consultants were brought in to implement a ELA critical shifts in instruction through standards based differentiated planning and individual instruction. Lesson studies, learning walks, lesson modeling, side-by-side coaching based on

Last Modified: 3/11/2021 https://www.floridacims.org Page 17 of 34

data analysis and curriculum alignment will be guided through future classroom visits. Major emphasis on restorative practices for building community & Communication.

#### Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

Monthly Stocktake meetings with leadership team to ensure follow through on action steps and report progress to the Principal on the Area of Focus. Monthly Focus meetings with leadership team to discuss data analysis from assessments and or data reports.

#### Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

Principal and leadership team will conduct daily walk-throughs of PLC teams to ensure correct processes are being used in the analyzing and planning for student achievement.

#### Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

Principal will share and update the Chief of Staff and Assistant Superintendents during their half way point check in on progress of the Area of Focus through the School Stocktake Model.

#### Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

Teachers are ensuring every student engages in high leverage, standards-based classroom activities to read, write, talk, and solve.

#### Person Responsible

Dana Schmidt (dana.schmidt@osceolaschools.net)

Last Modified: 3/11/2021

#### #2. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Social Emotional Learning

Well-implemented programs designed to foster SEL are associated with

positive outcomes, ranging from better

test scores and higher graduation rates to improved social behavior. Social-

emotional competencies include

skills, such as the ability to collaborate and make responsible decisions;

mindsets, such as thinking positively

about how to handle challenges; and habits, such as coming to class

prepared.

A positive school climate includes a safe environment, strong student and

staff relationships, and supports for

la amina di barani da a tha fanna dati an

learning. It provides the foundation that students need, to develop the social,

emotional, and academic

competencies they need to succeed in life.

Intertwining social and emotional learning and academics advances the ability of our students to adapt to change with the essential skills to effectively manage new challenges.

# Measureable Outcome:

Area of

**Description** 

Rationale:

Focus

2019-2020 SEL Climate Survey showed 25% of students answered favorable for feeling supported. In 2020-

2021 this question will be increased 5%.

# Person responsible for

for monitoring outcome: Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Research illustrates a correlation between student achievement and the development of an achievable,

rigorous and aligned curriculum. Additionally, schools that consistently utilize common assessments have the

greatest student achievement. The use of common formative assessments, when well implemented, can

### Evidencebased Strategy:

effectively double the speed of learning, (William. 2007), (Marzano, 2003) Students are diverse in their learning styles and needs. It is essential to assess individual learning styles and be

flexible in time management to allow for meeting these different needs.

The SEL 3 Signature Practices: Welcoming Inclusion Activities

Engaging Strategies Optimistic Closure.

Social and Emotional learnig (SEL) is not based on prescribed curricula; instead it is an approach that reflects

a set of teaching strategies and practices that are student-centered,. They use teaching techniques that build

# Rationale for Evidence-

Strategy:

based

on students' current knowledge and skills (Gardner, 1983).

nce-

When a school commits to using the SEL 3 Signature Practice in an authentic way, they are better able to meet their own learning goals and those of their students while building everyone's SEL skills to create a positive learning and work environment. One person can begin to use these practices and influence others, but it is only when they are used by the entire team or

organization that they will achieve their full impact.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Teachers and staff will plan activities that are engaging and relevant to students. Identifying and building on

students' individual assets and, passions.

Person Responsible

Responsible

Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Teacher will plan to build an environment of belonging.

Person

Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Teachers will increase student input and voice through planning and reflection activities.

**Person** 

Responsible Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Teachers will encourage and facilitate student's shared decision-making through consensus/ action planning

Person

Responsible Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Teachers will use active learning strategies like hands-on, experiential, and project-based activities

Person

Responsible Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Teacher will integrate SEL strategies into their curriculum, such as, self management, self confidence.self

efficacy, and social awareness where applicable.

Person

Responsible Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

. Teachers will facilitate peer learning and teaching - collaborative learning.

Person

Responsible Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

School will develop structures, relationships, and learning opportunities Illat support students' SE development.

Person

Responsible Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

All surveys will be analyzed to identify schools interventions that will support SEL and schoolwide plan will be developed.

Person

Responsible Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

The leadership team will review monthly behavior data for subgroups and develop inventions as required.

**Person** 

Responsible Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Last Modified: 3/11/2021 https://www.floridacims.org Page 20 of 34

#### **#3.** Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Ensure high levels of mathematics achievement for all students Rationale

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: If OHS develops teachers' understanding of differentiated Mathematics instruction then Mathematics instruction will improve across all Math courses and meet the instructional needs of all students. Additionally, by providing teachers the opportunity to gain a deeper understanding of Math content and instruction, leaders and teacher discussion and feedback will impact the quality of differentiated instruction. Mathematics problem solving is vital in developing logical thinkers and lifelong problem solvers. Students need to be able to work and approach problem-solving in various ways.

Measureable Outcome: Algebra 1 EOC achievement will improve from 19% to 24% in the spring of 2021. Geometry EOC achievement will improve from 38% to 43% in the spring of 2021. Math achievement will move from 29% to 34% with learning gains improving to 40% from 35%. The lowest 25% will improve to 41% from 36%.

Person responsible

**for** [no one identified]

monitoring outcome:

**Evidence-**Response to intervention Cognitive task analysis Collective teacher efficacy
Teacher estimates of achievement Planning and prediction Setting standards

**Strategy:** for self-judgement Coaching Cycle

Studies show that the analysis of student assessment data serves a critical

role in teacher decision making and

Rationale for

meeting the diverse needs of individual students. Additionally, collaborative analysis formative and

Evidencebased Strategy:

summative assessments to adjust instruction produces significant learning

gains for all

students, including those with disabilities. Marzano (2003), Reeves (2010),

Dufour, et al (2010)

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Administration team, academic coaches and compliance specialists will gather data from district CFA's, PLC CFA's and student performance in Khan academy to determine if students are developing their math skills.

Person Responsible

[no one identified]

The Math Coach and mentor will provide professional development on Math literacy, differentiation and rotations, as well as, utilize the TSL mentor platform during coaching cycles.

Person Responsible

[no one identified]

Math teachers will utilize Khan Academy to develop students' skills and fluency in Math literacy. Teachers will assign lessons in Khan academy to provide practice opportunities and add additional explanation of math concepts learned in the classroom.

Person Responsible

[no one identified]

All FSA Math level 1 and 2 students are blocked scheduled into Intensive math courses along Algebra I courses with the same math teacher. Intensive math teachers are utilizing Math Nation to supplement lesson delivery and differentiation and

### Person Responsible [no one identified]

Professional Development opportunities are taking place quarterly focusing on standards based instruction, instructional practices, data driven lesson planning, and intervention strategies. Additional training provided to all teachers on AVID focused note taking, text marking, questions for rigor, differentiation and lesson planning.

### Person Responsible [no one identified]

Students with Disabilities will receive grade level instruction. The work will be scaffolded to meet their needs and will be supported by the VE teacher when applicable. SWD will receive interventions based on their Tier 3, Tier 2, and Tier 1 individual needs.

### Person Responsible [no one identified]

ELL task force will meet once a month to discuss ELL students' academic performance and which strategies the ELL compliance specialist will train faculty on to support our students. Teachers deliver daily content-specific knowledge and experience in the classroom by ensuring standardized lessons and using differentiated instruction for ELL and ESE students, monitored by the ELL Compliance Specialist and Resource Compliance Specialist.

### **Person Responsible** [no one identified]

Teacher teams will meet each month during early release and on two individual planning periods a month, for the purpose of assessing, analyzing, reflecting and revising plans on course progression of individual student's needs as a Collaborative Team. All teachers are implementing the use of scales to guide instruction and track mastery of standards with a focus on major content.

# Person Responsible [no one identified]

Administration will be meeting with the math coach weekly to obtain feedback on math teachers and will be provided with ongoing data collected through district CFAs which will be placed on the school's data wall for continuous student data analysis. The Math Coach will provide professional development sessions to teachers as they request it and the need arises. The Leadership Team will determine areas of need through observation and data. Development sessions are data driven based off of data collected through Leadership Walks, Stocktake Meetings, Coaching for Implementation and Rigor Walks and District Learning Cycle Visits.

### Person Responsible [no one identified]

School wide intervention program called LASSO is built into the school day schedule to provide supplemental instruction incorporating math lessons determined through CFA lowest performing standards which will be monitored through weekly mini assessments.

# **Person Responsible** [no one identified]

Math Tutoring provided after school by math teachers every Tuesday and Thursday.

Last Modified: 3/11/2021 https://www.floridacims.org Page 22 of 34

# **Person Responsible** [no one identified]

The leadership team will monitor subsets of the lowest quartile data, while in communication with the teachers to track student progress.

### Person Responsible [no one identified]

Difference Makers and Carnegie Consultants were brought in to implement a math critical shift in instruction through standards based differentiated planning and individual instruction. Lesson studies, learning walks, lesson modeling, side-by-side coaching based on data analysis and curriculum alignment will be guided through visits. Major emphasis on restorative practices for building community & communication.

### **Person Responsible** [no one identified]

Teachers will track every student by standard utilizing on the spot formative assessments, common formative assessments, and summative assessments to track the progression of standards mastery. Teachers are ensuring every student engages in high leverage, standards-based classroom activities to read, write, talk, and solve.

# **Person Responsible** [no one identified]

Monthly Stocktake meetings with leadership team to ensure follow through on action steps and report progress to the Principal on the Area of Focus. Monthly Focus meetings with leadership team to discuss data analysis from assessments and or data reports.

### Person Responsible [no one identified]

Principal and leadership team will conduct daily walk-throughs of PLC teams to ensure correct processes are being used in the analyzing and planning for student achievement.

### Person Responsible [no one identified]

Principal will share and update the Chief of Staff and Assistant Superintendents during their half way point check in on progress of the Area of Focus through the School Stocktake Model.

### Person [no one identified]

Teachers are ensuring every student engages in high leverage, standards-based classroom activities to read, write, talk, and solve.

### **Person Responsible** [no one identified]

#### #4. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

ESSA data showed in 2018-2019 the school had two sub groups below

the ESSA level 41 %. this affected the

proficiency and student achievement seen throughout the state

reporting of school data. The school is TS&I

status.

ESSA Data for 2018-2019 ESE- 33% and ELL - 39% will be increase in

2020-2021 to be above 41 % in both

Outcome: sub groups.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Measureable

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:

Teachers will differentiate instruction in academically diverse classrooms seeking to provide appropriately 7 challenging learning

experiences for all their students.

Tomlinson and Imbeau (2010) describe differentiation as creating a

balance between academic content and

students' individual needs. They suggest that this balance is achieved

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

by modifying four specific elements related to curriculum:

Content- the information and skills that students need to learn

Process -how students make sense of the content being taught Product - how students demonstrate what they have learned Affect - the feelings and attitudes that affect students' learning

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Teachers, that share common planning, will participate in weekly PLC meetings that will focus on the

development of both standardized lesson plans and common assessments for all students

Person Responsible

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

PLC meetings will be supported and work in conjunction with the instructional coaches.

Person Responsible

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Teachers will focus on creating learning goals and targets for individual students.

Person Responsible

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Teachers will participate in professional development that focuses instructional strategies that scaffold

content for ELL and ESE subgroups. Professional development training will include AVID WICOR

instructional strategies, ELLEVATION training, and ESE support strategies.

Person Responsible

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

The ELL and ESE support in the classroom will occur through the collaboration of ESOL compliance

specialist and RCS ensuring students are supported in all courses by providing ELL and ESE instructional

strategies and professional development for teachers

**Person** 

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Responsible

Students will participate in targeted intervention Tier 1,2,& 3.

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net) Responsible

School Stocktake will take place monthly to report progress to the Principal on the Area of Focus.

Person

Last Modified: 3/11/2021

Responsible

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

#### **#5.** Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Ensure high Levels of science achievement for all students
If OHS develops teachers' understanding of differentiated Science instruction
then Science instruction will improve across all science courses and meet the
instructional needs of all students. Additionally, by providing teachers the
opportunity to gain a deeper understanding of science content and
instruction, leaders and teacher discussion and feedback will impact the
quality of differentiated instruction.

Measureable Outcome:

EOC Biology Pass rate will increase from 47% to 52%.

Person responsible

for [no one identified]

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy: Response to intervention Cognitive task analysis Collective teacher efficacy Teacher estimates of achievement Planning and prediction Setting standards

for self-judgement

Rationale

for

Students who manipulate scientific ideas using hands-on/minds-on strategies

and activities are more successful

Evidencebased Strategy:

than peers who are taught by teachers relying primarily on lecture and the

textbook (Lynch & Zenchak, 2002

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Administration team, academic coaches and compliance specialists will gather data from district CFA's, PLC CFA's to determine if students are acquiring mastery of the standards.

Person Responsible

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

The Science Coach will provide professional development on Science literacy, differentiation and rotations.

Person Responsible

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Professional Development opportunities are taking place once a month focusing on standards based instruction, instructional practices, data driven lesson planning, and intervention strategies.

Person

Responsible

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Science teachers will utilize Khan Academy to develop students' skills and fluency through the use of the video tutorials. Teachers will assign lessons in Khan academy to provide practice opportunities and add additional explanation of science concepts learned in the classroom.

Person Responsible

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

ELL task force will meet once a month to discuss ELL students' academic performance and which strategies the ELL compliance specialist will train faculty on to support our students. Teachers deliver daily content-specific knowledge and experience in the classroom by

ensuring standardized lessons and using differentiated instruction for ELL and ESE students, monitored by the ELL Compliance Specialist and RCS.

### Person Responsible Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Students will be provided Tier 2 instruction based on grade level standards and content using data, student by standard tracking, collaborative planning, and data analysis. Students will be provided Tier 3 instruction based on gaps in literacy foundations: phonics, phonemic awareness and fluency.

### Person Responsible Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

The Science Coach will provide professional development sessions to teachers as they request it and the need arises. The Leadership Team will determine areas of need through observation and data. Development sessions are data driven based off of data collected through Leadership Walks, Stocktake Meetings, Coaching for Implementation and Rigor Walks and District Learning Cycle Visits. Additional training provided to all teachers on AVID focused note taking, text marking, questions for rigor, differentiation and lesson planning.

### **Person Responsible**Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

All teachers are implementing the use of scales to guide instruction and track mastery of standards with a focus on major content. Teacher teams will track every student by standard through on the spot formative assessments, common formative assessments, and summative assessments to track the progression of standards mastery.

### Person Responsible Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Administration will be meeting with the science coach weekly to obtain feedback on science teachers and will be provided with ongoing data collected through district CFAs which will be placed on the school's data wall for continuous student data analysis.

### **Person Responsible**Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

School wide intervention program called LASSO is built into the school day schedule to provide supplemental instruction incorporating science lessons determined through CFA lowest performing standards which will be monitored through weekly mini assessments.

### **Person Responsible**Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Tutoring will be provided after school by science teachers every Tuesday and Thursday.

### Person Responsible Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Teachers are ensuring every student engages in high leverage, standards-based classroom activities to read, write, talk, and solve.

### Person Responsible Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Students will be provided with targeted interventions that meet the needs of all students. Using Title I funds to offer IMPACT lab instruction and remediation support. Students will provide Tier 3 instruction based on gaps in literacy foundations: phonics, phonemic awareness and fluency.

Last Modified: 3/11/2021 https://www.floridacims.org Page 27 of 34

# **Person Responsible**Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

The leadership team will monitor subsets of lowest quartile data, while in communication with the teachers to track student progress.

### Person Responsible Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

SWD will receive grade level instruction. The work will be scaffolded to meet their needs and will be supported by the VE teacher when applicable. SWD will receive intervention based on their Tier 3, Tier 2, and Tier 1 individual needs.

### Person Responsible Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Difference Makers Consultants were brought in to implement a Science critical shift in instruction through standards based differentiated planning and individual instruction. Lesson studies, learning walks, lesson modeling, side-by-side coaching based on data analysis and curriculum alignment will be guided through visits. Major emphasis on restorative practices for building community & Communication.

### **Person Responsible**Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Principal and leadership team will conduct daily walk-throughs of PLC teams to ensure correct processes are being used in the analyzing and planning for student achievement.

### Person Responsible Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

School Stocktake will take place monthly to report progress to the Principal on the Area of Focus.

### Person Responsible Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Principal will share and update the Chief of Staff and Assistant Superintendents during their half way point check in on progress of the Area of Focus through the School Stocktake Model.

### **Person Responsible**Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Last Modified: 3/11/2021 https://www.floridacims.org Page 28 of 34

### #6. Other specifically relating to Schoolwide Post Secondary Culture for all Students

A college-going culture builds the expectation of postsecondary education for all students-not just the best

students. It inspires the best in every student, and it supports students

in achieving their goals. Students who

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: have the parental, school, and community expectations that college is

the next step after high school see

college as the norm However, the idea that college is the next step

after high school may seem unrealistic for

those students who are from one or more of the following groups: low

achievers, middle to low-income levels,

underrepresented minorities, disabled youth, and families where no one

has attended college before.

Measureable Outcome:

Our 2019 graduating class acceleration rate is 50%, our goal for 2021

class is 60%.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Schools with a strong future orientation, that engage all students in

planning for life after graduation. With

effective school-based teams that are anchors of implementing post

secondary work. Which shape a culture of

**Evidence-based** secondary

Strategy:

success in which students aspire to a quality life beyond school. Then in

such schools, students will fully

participate in their academic and personal development to access a

variety of opportunities to meet their needs.

Students should be supported in their efforts to reflect on their future

and should have multiple opportunities to

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

do so. A school culture committed to promoting students' aspirations

for continuing their education must expand beyond just lessons

students alone. {Poliner & Lieber 2004}

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Through clear school goals & expectations we will create a school culture that will prepare all students for college or career pathways. The use of Naviance for our career planning, will equip our students with the tools needed to prepare for post-secondary pathways. Advanced Placement, CTE Certification courses, and Dual Enrollment courses opportunities provided to all students that will create and promote the post-secondary culture.

Person Responsible

Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Monitor teacher progress through lesson plan checks and classroom observations with feedback.

Person Responsible

Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

AP PLC Leader assigned to facilitate collaboration of the content PLC.

Person

Responsible Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Testing calendar will be coordinated with the District Testing Calendar

Person

Responsible Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Ensure 11th and 12th grade students are in CTE

Person Responsible

Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Identify Dual Enrollment students, Including eligibility for underclassmen in D.E.

Person

Responsible Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Progress Monitoring (AP Dashboard) To hold teachers accountable for reviewing class and individual student progress throughout the year in order to identify and address areas in which students struggle with content and skills that will be assessed on the AP Exam. Teachers will use Personal Progress checks to measure student understanding of the content and skills in a unit and provide actionable feedback. This includes addressing quality of rigor and instructional expertise.

**Person** 

Responsible

Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Principal and leadership team will conduct daily walkthroughs of PLC teams to ensure correct processes are being used in the analyzing and planning for student achievement.

Person

Responsible

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

School Stocktake will take place monthly to report progress to the Principal on the Area of Focus.

**Person** 

Responsible

Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Principal will share and update the Chief of Staff and Assistant Superintendents during their half way point check in on progress of the Area of Focus through the School Stocktake Model.

**Person** 

Responsible

Ivet Rivera (ivet.rivera@osceolaschools.net)

Last Modified: 3/11/2021 https://www.floridacims.org Page 30 of 34

#### #7. Leadership specifically relating to Instructional Leadership Team

The leadership team helps to maintain a cohesive school vision and strategy focused on student achievement. Improvement in this area, rather than the operational

management of a school, is the main priority of leadership

**Area of Focus Description and Rationale:** 

Effective instructional leadership teams are powerful levers for

making change in schools. These teams

typically include the principal, assistant principal, instructional

coaches, teacher leaders,. and other school

leaders and can provide a systematic way for schools to execute

their most important priorities.

It was found through the I n sight survey submitted by teachers that the re was a need for growth in instructional leadership.

**Measureable Outcome:** 

Insight Survey Retention Section Response 2019-2020

Opportunities to pursue leadership roles 15% 2020-2021 20%

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

**Evidence-based** Strategy:

Increase teachers leadership roles within the school. Leadership roles can improve teacher motivation and

confidence in their own abilities and had taught them to

motivate, lead and encourage other adults leading to improved self-confidence, increased knowledge, and an improved

attitude to teaching among teachers

Great leaders understand that teachers know what their students-

and wt, at they themselves-need to

succeed. When teachers are involved in examining data and

making important decisions based on data that

inform how they continuously improve their schools, leadership

teams can ensure that everyone in the building

is focused on the core business of the school-improving student

learning outcomes When teachers work

Rationale for

**Evidence-based** 

Strategy:

together in teams, they coach each other, learn from one another, and become experts in specific areas This

team dynamic-in which everyone plays a role and is valued-

provides them with a sate space to refine their

practices to improve student outcomes It also boosts teacher

morale, making it more likely that good teachers

will stay in the profession longer\_ In these collaborative environments, transparency of practice and data are

expected to help drive improvement (Gates Foundation 2019)

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

Strategic planning will move away from "classic" approactles to adaptive ones. Shifting away from making

predictions, collecting data, and executing from the top down-and towards conducting experiments (such as

small, 30-day projects), using pattern recognition, and execution by the wllole

Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net) **Person Responsible** 

The team will create 30-day improvement strategies that actualize the annual goals\_ The 30-day period is

intentional because it forces urgency but leaves enough time to change course if the improvement project is not working

**Person Responsible** Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Cultivate a mindset of focus, discipline, and accountability within every staff member and ensure that

concrete actions are taken every day toward goals.

**Person Responsible** Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

Select the team so it has a balance of visionaries and integrators. Both are equally valuable and necessary,

especially with leadership teams

**Person Responsible** Latonia Harris (latonia.harris@osceolaschools.net)

#### **Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities**

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I it was established that the number of student course failure in ELA or Math and the number students with a Level 1 on Statewide assessments will be considered an improvement priority that will be monitored under all the areas of focus.

#### **Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment**

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Osceola High School strives to involve all parents in the planning, review, and improvement of Title I programs and our Parent & Family Engagement Plan. All parents are invited to attend meetings regarding the development of the required plan through flyers, school marquee, and REMIND. Parents are asked for their input on activities and training provided by the school. The school uses the notes from the group discussion to guide writing the plan. Osceola High School believes that positive parent/family involvement is a key component to reach the greatest student achievement possible and will encourage involvement in all school activities.

Last Modified: 3/11/2021 https://www.floridacims.org Page 32 of 34

OHS wants to get parents more involved with the events on campus. Osceola High school will continue to host Dinner with the Doc, which is a time for parents, students, and community members to meet with Dr. Campbell to discuss matters of concern and learn important information.

### Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

	Part V: Budget							
1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instruct	\$12,500.00					
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21		
	6400	310-Professional and Technical Services	0081 - Osceola High School	Title, I Part A		\$12,500.00		
			Notes: Notes: Focus is on ELA critical shifts in instruction through standards based differentiated planning and individual instruction. Lesson studies, learning walks, lesson modeling, side-by-side coaching based on data analysis and curriculum alignment will be guided through visits. Major emphasis on restorative practices for building community & Communication. Relates to our SIP goal for ensuring higher levels of literacy by strengthening collaborative processes to ensure that the learning needs of all students are met.					
2	2 III.A. Areas of Focus: Culture & Environment: Social Emotional Learning							
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instruct	eas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math					
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21		
	6400	310-Professional and Technical Services	0081 - Osceola High School	Title, I Part A		\$12,500.00		
	Notes: Notes: Focus is on Mathematics critical shifts in instruct standards based differentiated planning and individual instruct studies, learning walks, lesson modeling, side-by-side coaching analysis and curriculum alignment will be guided through visit on restorative practices for building community & Communica SIP goal for ensuring higher level of mathematics achievemen collaborative processes to ensure that the learning needs of a					tion. Lesson g based on data s. Major emphasis tion. Relates to our t by strengthening		
	6400	310-Professional and Technical Services	0081 - Osceola High School	Title, I Part A		\$9,875.00		
	Notes: Notes: Focus is on Mathematics critical shifts in instruction through standards based differentiated planning and individual instruction. Lesson studies, learning walks, lesson modeling, side-by-side coaching based on data analysis and curriculum alignment will be guided through visits. Major emphasis on restorative practices for building community & Communication. Relates to of SIP goal for ensuring higher level of mathematics achievement by strengthenin collaborative processes to ensure that the learning needs of all students are me							
4	III.A.	A. Areas of Focus: ESSA Subgroup: Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups \$0.0						
5	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Science						
6	III.A. Areas of Focus: Other: Schoolwide Post Secondary Culture for all Students					\$0.00		
7	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Leadership: Instructional Leadership Team \$0.00						

Total:

\$34,875.00